

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,529	01/27/2004	Xianglin Wang	SAM2.PAU.35	1030
23386 759 MVFRS DAWFS		EXAMINER		
MYERS DAWES ANDRAS & SHERMAN, LLP 19900 MACARTHUR BLVD., SUITE 1150 IRVINE, CA 92612			LAROSE, COLIN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
*				
SHORTENED STATUTORY P	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/765,529	WANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Colin M. LaRose	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,	action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 16</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-15 and 17-30</u> is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) <u>2-15 and 17-30</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 January 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/26/05</u> . 6)						

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it should be limited to about 150 words or less. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

### Drawings

2. Figures 1A and 1B should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined

application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1 and 16 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 7 and 17, respectively, of copending Application No. 10/697,361, filed on 10/30/2003. Although the conflicting claims are not identical (i.e. verbatim), they are not patentably distinct from each other because they cover essentially the same scope of subject matter pertaining to detecting the location an luminance transition range of a slant image.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,529 Page 4

Art Unit: 2624

5. Claims 1 and 16 of this application conflict with claims 7 and 17 of Application No. 10/697,361. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-30 would be allowed if Applicant filed a Terminal Disclaimer in accordance with the above requirement.
- 7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claim 1, U.S. Patent 4,853,970 by Ott et al. ("Ott") discloses a method of detecting the location and luminance transition range of a slant image edge (i.e. edges in arbitrary directions) in a digital image comprising pixels, the method comprising the steps of:

- (a) defining a two-dimensional window of pixels in the digital image (figure 2; column 7/1-19);
- (b) determining a variance value for a plurality of pixels around a selected pixel inside said window (equation 1, column 8);
- (c) based on the variance value, determining if the selected pixel is in an edge region (column 8/15-32);

Application/Control Number: 10/765,529

Art Unit: 2624

(d) if the selected pixel is in an edge region, then generating a binary pattern for the pixels in the window based on the mean value of at least said plurality of pixels in the window, the binary pattern comprising binary values corresponding to the pixel values (see column 9);

Page 5

(f) if the selected pixel is essentially a center pixel in a luminance transition range of the slant edge, then determining the length of the luminance transition range of the slant image edge (see e.g. figure 3: given a pixel 18 near the center of an edge transition region in any direction, the length of the intensity (analog to luminance) transition range is shown as the distance from the "dark region" to the "light region").

However, Ott does not appear to disclose or suggest: (e) based on the binary pattern data, determining if the selected pixel is essentially a center pixel in a luminance transition range of a slant edge. That is, Ott does not determine if a pixel of interest is located at essentially the center of a luminance transition range. [Here, the "center" is construed to denote the center, or middle point, of a luminance transition range and not, e.g., the center of the aforementioned 2-D window of pixels.]

Ott compares a pixel of interest to the mean value of pixels within the image to determine whether the pixel is to the left or right of an inflection point, as shown in figure 3 (see column 9), however, such a determination does not indicate which pixel lies at (essentially) the center of the transition region.

Similar limitations appear in claim 16, which recites a system that substantially corresponds to the method of claim 1, and is allowed therefor.

Art Unit: 2624

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Related Prior Art

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure is listed on the attached "Notice of Reference Cited."

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Colin M. LaRose whose telephone number is (571) 272-7423. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta, can be reached on (571) 272-7453. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

Application/Control Number: 10/765,529

Art Unit: 2624

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding can also be directed to the TC 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Page 7

Colin M. LaRose Group Art Unit 2624

2 April 2007